

Cycles in G -orbits in $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ -flag manifolds

A. Huckleberry* & B. Ntatin†

Abstract

There is a natural duality between orbits γ of a real form G of a complex semisimple group $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ on a homogeneous rational manifold $Z = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ and those κ of the complexification $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ of any of its maximal compact subgroups K : (γ, κ) is a dual pair if $\gamma \cap \kappa$ is a K -orbit. The cycle space $C(\gamma)$ is defined to be the connected component containing the identity of the interior of $\{g : g(\kappa) \cap \gamma \text{ is non-empty and compact}\}$. Using methods which were recently developed for the case of open G -orbits, geometric properties of cycles are proved, and it is shown that $C(\gamma)$ is contained in a domain defined by incidence geometry. In the non-Hermitian case this is a key ingredient for proving that $C(\gamma)$ is a certain explicitly computable universal domain

0 Introduction and Notation

Let G be a non-compact semi-simple Lie group without compact factors which is embedded in its complexification $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ and let $Z = G^{\mathbb{C}}/Q$ be a $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ -flag manifold, i.e., a compact, homogeneous, algebraic $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ -manifold. Denote by K a maximal compact subgroup of G ; in particular G/K is a negatively curved Riemannian symmetric space. In the sequel we will assume that G is simple. The necessary adjustments for the semi-simple case are straight-forward.

Let $Orb_Z(G)$ (resp. $Orb_Z(K^{\mathbb{C}})$) denote the set of G -orbits (resp. $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbits) in Z . It is known that these sets are finite ([W1]). If $\kappa \in Orb_Z(K^{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\gamma \in Orb_Z(G)$, then (κ, γ) is said to be a dual pair if $\kappa \cap \gamma$ is non-empty and compact.

If γ is an open G -orbit, then κ being dual to γ is equivalent to $\kappa \subset \gamma$. In ([W1]) it is shown that every open G -orbit contains a unique compact $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit, i.e., duality at the level of open G -orbits. This is extended in ([M], see also [BL] and [MUV]) to the case of all orbits: *For every $\gamma \in Orb_Z(G)$ there exists a unique $\kappa \in Orb_Z(K^{\mathbb{C}})$ such that (γ, κ) is a dual pair and vice versa.* Furthermore, if (γ, κ) is a dual pair then the intersection $\kappa \cap \gamma$ is transversal at each of its points and consists of exactly one K -orbit.

To motivate the notion of a cycle in this case, let us begin with the case of an open G -orbit D . The dual orbit κ defines a point in the cycle

*Research partially supported by Schwerpunkt "Global methods in complex geometry" and SFB-237 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

†Supported by a stipend of the Deutsche Akademische Austauschdienst.

space $C^q(D)$, where $q := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \kappa$. The connected component $\Omega_W(D)$ of $\{g \in G^{\mathbb{C}} : g(\kappa) \subset D\}$ can be regarded as a family of cycles by the procedure of associating g to the cycle $g(\kappa)$. Clearly $\Omega_W(D)$ is invariant by the action of $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ on $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ on the right and therefore we often regard it as being in the affine homogenous space $\Omega := G^{\mathbb{C}}/K^{\mathbb{C}}$.

The cycle space $C(\gamma)$ associated to a lower-dimensional orbit is defined analogously, at least when one has duality in mind: $C(\gamma)$ is the connected component containing the identity of the interior of the set

$$\{g \in G^{\mathbb{C}} : g(\kappa) \cap \gamma \text{ is non-empty and compact}\}.$$

Since the intersection $\kappa \cap \gamma$ is transversal, it is clear that $C(\gamma)$ is non-empty

Here, in a result that we state at the outset, it is shown that this is in fact a reasonable set. The following is proved in § 2.

Proposition 1. *If $g \in C(\gamma)$, then $g(\text{cl}(\kappa)) \cap \text{cl}(\gamma) =: M_g$ is a compact subset of $g(\kappa) \cap \gamma$. This intersection is transversal at each of its points and the manifold M_g is homotopic in γ to $M = M_e$. If $g \in \text{bd}(C(\gamma))$, then either $g(\kappa) \cap \gamma$ is non-compact or empty. In particular, if $\{g_n\}$ is a divergent sequence in $C(\gamma)$, then there exists $z_n \in g_n(\kappa) \cap \gamma$ with $\{z_n\}$ divergent in γ .*

In the sequel we take this to be the meaning of the *cycle space* $C(\gamma)$ and regard the manifolds M_g as cycles. Just as in the case of open orbits, we often regard $C(\gamma)$ as a G -invariant domain in Ω .

Our main result is the complete characterization of the cycle spaces $C(\gamma)$ in the case where G is non-Hermitian, where our contribution is in the case of the non-open orbits. For a given group G these cycle spaces are all naturally biholomorphically isomorphic to a fixed domain which, when realized in Ω , is denoted by Ω_{AG} (see Thm. 9).

This domain was discussed in ([C]) in the context of differential geometry. If $G \times_K \mathfrak{p}$ is the tangent bundle of the Riemannian symmetric space $M = G/K$, then Ω_{AG} is identified by the polar coordinates map $\mathcal{P} : TM \rightarrow \Omega$, $[(g, \xi)] \mapsto g \exp(i\xi)$, with the maximal neighborhood of the 0-section on which $\mathcal{P}$ is a local diffeomorphism. It was considered in ([AG]) from the point of view of neighborhoods of M in Ω on which G acts properly. It is known to be a Stein domain and its complex geometry is closely related to the Riemannian geometry of the symmetric space M ([BHH]).

In terms of roots, if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$ is an Iwasawa-decomposition, Φ is a system of roots on $\mathfrak{a}$ and ω_{AG} is the connected component containing $0 \in \mathfrak{a}$ of the set which is obtained by removing from $\mathfrak{a}$ the union affine hyperplanes

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} \{\xi \in \mathfrak{a} : \alpha(\xi) = \frac{\pi}{2}\}.$$

Then $\Omega_{AG} = \text{Gexp}(i\omega_{AG}).x_0$, where $x_0 \in G^{\mathbb{C}}/K^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the base point.

Using a certain triality and related incidence varieties ([HW1]) along with G -invariant theoretic properties and the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of Ω_{AG}

([H],[FH]), it has been recently shown that, with a few well-known Hermitian exceptions where $\Omega_W(D)$ is the associated bounded symmetric domain, the cycle domain $\Omega_W(D)$ of an open orbit is naturally identifiable with Ω_{AG} .

Our work here makes use of the methods and results of these papers along with a result of ([GM]) which, together with knowledge of the intersection of the cycle domains for the open orbits in $G^{\mathbb{C}}/B$, implies the inclusion $\Omega_{AG} \subset C(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in Orb_Z(G)$.

For a survey of these and other basic properties of Ω_{AG} see ([HW2]).

1 Basic triality

Here B denotes a Borel subgroup of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ which contains the factor AN of an Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$. As usual the closure S of a B -orbit O in Z is referred to as a Schubert variety. Let $Y := S \setminus O$.

Since the set of Schubert varieties $\mathcal{S}$ generates the homology of Z , for every $\kappa \in Orb_Z(K^{\mathbb{C}})$ the set

$$\mathcal{S}_{\kappa} := \{S \in \mathcal{S} : S \cap \kappa \neq \emptyset\}$$

is non-empty. The following is a slightly refined version of Thm. 3.1 of ([HW1]).

Theorem 2. *Let (κ, γ) be a dual pair and $S \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$. Then*

1. $S \cap \kappa \subset \gamma$
2. *The intersection $S \cap \gamma$ is open in S and consists of finitely many AN -orbits each of which is open in S and closed in γ .*
3. *If $z_0 \in S \cap \gamma$, then $\Sigma := (AN).z_0$ intersects κ in exactly one point and that intersection is transversal in Z .*

The only refinement is that, instead of $\Sigma \cap \kappa$ being finite, we now show that it consists of just one point. This is implicit in [HW1] (see §5 in that paper). Let us repeat the relevant details.

Let $\alpha : K_{z_0} \times (AN)_{z_0} \rightarrow G_{z_0}$ be defined by multiplication, $(k, an) \mapsto kan$. As was shown in ([HW1]) α is a diffeomorphism onto a number of components of G_{z_0} . However, since the orbit $M := K.z_0$ is a strong deformation retract of γ , it follows that G_{z_0}/K_{z_0} is connected and consequently α is surjective. Thus $|\Sigma \cap \kappa| = 1$ is proved just as in Cor. 5.2 of ([HW1]). $\square$

Given γ, κ , an Iwasawa-decomposition $G = KAN$, a Borel subgroup B of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ which contains AN , a B Schubert variety $S \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$ and an intersection point $z_0 \in \kappa \cap S$ as above, we refer to $\Sigma = AN.z_0$ as the associated *Schubert slice*. Note that G -conjugation yields a G_p -invariant family of Schubert slices Σ at every point $p \in \gamma$.

It should also be noted that in the case that $\gamma = \gamma_{cl}$ is closed, Σ is just the associated B -fixed point.

2 Cycle transversality

Our main goal here is to prove Prop. 1. The first step is to show that if $g(\kappa) \cap \gamma$ is compact, the intersection of the variety $g(\text{cl}(\kappa))$ with every Schubert slice Σ has optimal transversality properties.

Lemma 3. *For all $g \in G^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $g(\kappa) \cap \gamma$ compact the number of points in the intersection $g(\kappa) \cap \Sigma$ is bounded by the intersection number $S \cdot \text{cl}(\kappa)$.*

Proof. Since Σ can be regarded as a domain in $O \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ and $g(\kappa) \cap \gamma$ is compact, it follows from the maximum principle that $g(\kappa) \cap \Sigma$ is finite and of course it is then bounded by the intersection number $S \cdot \text{cl}(\kappa)$. $\square$

It should be underlined that, since $|g(\kappa) \cap \Sigma|$ is finite, it is semi-continuous in the sense that it can only increase as g moves away from g_0 .

Let

$$I := \{g \in G^{\mathbb{C}} : |g(\kappa) \cap \Sigma| = 1 \text{ for all } \Sigma\}$$

Here for all Σ means for all choices of the maximal compact group K and all Iwasawa factors AN , i.e., all Schubert slices which arise by G -conjugation of those Σ which are connected components of $S \cap \gamma$ for a fixed $S \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$.

We now consider the open set $I \cap C(\gamma)$.

Lemma 4. *If $g \in \text{bd}(C(\gamma) \cap I)$, then either $g(\kappa) \cap \gamma$ is empty or non-compact. In particular, $C(\gamma) \subset I$.*

Proof. We may assume that $g \notin I$, because if $g \in I$ and $g(\kappa) \cap \gamma$ is compact, then both conditions hold in an open neighborhood of g and consequently $g \in C(\gamma)$.

We assume that $g(\kappa) \cap \gamma$ is compact and reach a contradiction. For this let $\tilde{M}$ be the limiting set of the sequence of manifolds $M_n := g_n(\kappa) \cap \gamma$. Since $C(\gamma)$ is by definition connected and M_e is connected, it follows that $\tilde{M}$ is a connected closed set.

Since $g(\kappa) \cap \gamma$ is compact, it follows that $\tilde{M} \cap \gamma$ is compact and is therefore closed in $\tilde{M}$. On the other hand $\tilde{M} \cap \text{bd}(\gamma)$ is closed in $\tilde{M}$ and consequently $\tilde{M} \cap \gamma$ is also open in $\tilde{M}$. By the semicontinuity of the intersection number and the fact that $g \notin I$, $\tilde{M} \cap \Sigma_0 = \emptyset$ for some Schubert slice Σ_0 . In particular, since $|M_n \cap \Sigma_0| = 1$ for all n , $\tilde{M} \not\subset \gamma$. Since $\tilde{M}$ is connected, it follows that $\tilde{M} \cap \gamma = \emptyset$.

But $g(\kappa) \cap \gamma$ is non-empty. So there exists $p \in \gamma$ and an open neighborhood $U(p)$ so that $g_n(\kappa) \cap U = \emptyset$, but $p \in g(\kappa)$. On the other hand, if Σ is a Schubert slice at p , and p were isolated in $g(\kappa) \cap \Sigma$, it would follow that $g_n(\kappa) \cap U \cap \Sigma$ is non-empty for n sufficiently large. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 1. Observe that if $g \in C(\gamma)$ and $g(\text{cl}(\kappa)) \cap \text{cl}(\gamma)$ contained an additional point $p \in \text{bd}(\gamma)$ or in $\text{bd}(\kappa)$, then for $h \in C(\gamma)$ chosen appropriately, in particular small, we would find some Σ_0 which

contains $h(p)$ as well as another point in M_{hg} . This is contrary to the fact that $C(\gamma) \subset I$ and that this intersection contains exactly one point.

The transversality of the intersection and the properties of M_g are also immediate consequences of $C(\gamma)$ being connected and contained in I .

If $g \in \text{bd}(C(\gamma))$, then, again since $C(\gamma) \subset I$, by Lemma 4 $g(\kappa) \cap \gamma$ is either empty or non-compact. $\square$

3 Description of the cycle spaces

3.1 Lifting cycle spaces

The above transversality results are only useful in the case when γ is not closed. Here we prove a *Lifting Lemma* which will be used to understand the cycle space $C(\gamma_{cl})$ of the closed orbit. For this let $Z = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ as usual, let $\tilde{Z} = G^{\mathbb{C}}/\tilde{P}$ be defined by a parabolic group $\tilde{P}$ which is contained in P and $\pi : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ is the natural projection.

Proposition 5. *If (γ, κ) is a dual pair of orbits in Z with $z_0 \in \gamma \cap \kappa$ and $\tilde{z}_0$ is in a closed G_{z_0} -orbit in the fiber $F := \pi^{-1}(z_0)$, then $\tilde{\gamma} := G_{z_0} \cdot \tilde{z}_0$ and $\tilde{\kappa} := K^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \tilde{z}_0$ define a dual pair $(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\kappa})$ in $\tilde{Z}$. Furthermore, the mapping $\pi|_{\tilde{\gamma}} : \tilde{\gamma} \rightarrow \gamma$ is proper.*

Proof. Since K_{z_0} is a maximal compact subgroup of G_{z_0} , it acts transitively on the compact orbit $G_{z_0} \cdot \tilde{z}_0$. Consequently $\tilde{\gamma} \cap F = K_{z_0} \cdot \tilde{z}_0$ and, since $\gamma \cap \kappa = K \cdot z_0$, it follows that $\tilde{\gamma} \cap \tilde{\kappa} = K \cdot \tilde{z}_0$.

The properness of $\pi|_{\tilde{\gamma}}$ follows immediately from the fact that it is a homogenous fibration with compact fiber. $\square$

In the following result we maintain the above notation.

Proposition 6. *If γ is not closed, then $C(\gamma) \subset C(\tilde{\gamma})$*

Proof. Let $\{g_n\}$ be a sequence in $C(\tilde{\gamma})$ which converges to $g \in \text{bd}(C(\tilde{\gamma}))$. By Prop.1 there is a sequence $\tilde{z}_n$ in $g_n(\tilde{\kappa}) \cap \tilde{\gamma}$ which diverges in $\tilde{\gamma}$. Since $\pi|_{\tilde{\gamma}}$ is proper, the corresponding sequence z_n in $C(\gamma)$ is also divergent.

Now either $g_n \notin C(\gamma)$ infinitely often, in which case $g \notin C(\gamma)$ or we may assume that $\{g_n\} \subset C(\gamma)$. In the latter case, since $z_n \in g_n(\kappa) \cap \gamma$ is divergent in γ it likewise follows that $g \notin C(\gamma)$.

Hence $\text{bd}(C(\tilde{\gamma})) \cap C(\gamma) = \emptyset$ and the desired result follows. $\square$

Finally, let γ be such that $c\ell(\gamma) = \gamma \dot{\cup} \gamma_{cl}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ be as above. If $g_n \in C(\gamma)$ converges to $g \in \text{bd}(C(\gamma))$, then, again by Prop.1, it follows that $g(c\ell(\kappa)) \cap \gamma_{cl} \neq \emptyset$. Consequently, $C(\gamma_{cl}) \subset C(\gamma)$.

Thus, in the notation above, we have the following consequence.

Corollary 7. $C(\gamma_{cl}) \subset C(\gamma) \subset C(\tilde{\gamma})$

3.2 Proof of the main theorem

Let us first consider the case where γ is not closed and let $S \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa$ as above. Since $\kappa \cap S \cap \gamma$ already realizes the intersection number $S \cdot \text{cl}(\kappa)$, it follows that $g(\text{cl}(\kappa)) \cap Y = \emptyset$ for all $g \in C(\gamma)$.

From now on we regard the homogeneous space $\Omega = G^\mathbb{C}/K^\mathbb{C}$ as a family of q -dimensional cycles, $q := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \kappa$, via the identification of $g \in C(\gamma)$ with the cycle $g(\text{cl}(\kappa))$.

Since $Y := S \setminus O$ can be given the structure of a very ample divisor in S , it follows that incidence variety of all q -dimensional cycles C with $C \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ contains a complex hypersurface which pulls back to a complex hypersurface $H \subset \Omega$ ([BK],[BM]).

By the method of ([BK]), for $f \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}(*Y))$ an appropriately chosen meromorphic function with poles along Y , the trace-transform $Tr(f)$ has a non-empty polar set P which is the union of a certain number of components of H . Apriori it is possible that there are cycles $g \in C(\gamma)$ with $\text{cl}(g(\kappa)) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, but with $|\text{cl}(g(\kappa)) \cap \Sigma| = S \cdot \text{cl}(g(\kappa))$. These would necessarily have positive-dimensional intersection with S and the non-discrete components would lie in $S \setminus \Sigma$.

However, this phenomenon occurs on a lower-dimensional subvariety in Ω , and such cycles are limits of generic cycles which intersect S only in points of Σ and of course at only finitely many points which are bounded away from Y . Thus the value of $Tr(f)$ at $g(\text{cl}(g(\kappa)))$ is finite and therefore such cycles are not in P . As a consequence $P \cap C(\gamma) = \emptyset$ and we redefine H to be P in the sequel.

Proposition 8. *If γ is not closed, then there exists a Borel subgroup B which contains a factor AN of an Iwasawa-decomposition $G = KAN$ and a B -invariant hypersurface H in Ω so that $\Omega_{AG} \subset C(\gamma) \subset \Omega_H$*

Proof. From the characterization of cycle spaces of the open G -orbits ([HW1],[FH]) it follows in particular that the intersection of all such cycle spaces for the open orbits in $G^\mathbb{C}/B$ is Ω_{AG} (This intersection property also follows in most cases from the results in [GM]). By Prop. 8.1 of ([GM]) it then follows that

$$\Omega_{AG} \subset C(\gamma).$$

In the case of a non-closed orbit we have the B -invariant hypersurface H in the complement of $C(\gamma)$ and, since $C(\gamma)$ is G -invariant and contains the base point in Ω_{AG} it follows that it is contained in the connected component of

$$\Omega \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k \in K} k(H) \right)$$

which by definition is Ω_H . □

Theorem 9. *If G is not of Hermitian type, then*

$$C(\gamma) = \Omega_{AG}$$

for all $\gamma \in \text{Orb}_Z(G)$.

Proof. Since G is non-Hermitian, Ω_H is Kobayashi hyperbolic (see [H],[FH]) and the main theorem of ([FH]) can therefore be applied: A G -invariant, Stein, Kobayashi hyperbolic domain containing Ω_{AG} is Ω_{AG} itself. Thus $\Omega_{AG} = \Omega_H$ and the result for non-closed orbits follows from Prop.8.

By Cor. 7 it then follows that $C(\gamma_{cl}) \subset \Omega_{AG}$. But, if $\tilde{Z} = G^\mathbb{C}/B$, it is clearly the case that $C(\tilde{\gamma}_{cl}) \subset C(\gamma_{cl})$ and it is known that $C(\tilde{\gamma}_{cl}) = \Omega_{AG}$ ([B],[H], see also [FH]). Thus, $C(\gamma_{cl}) \supset \Omega_{AG}$ and the result is proved for closed orbits as well. $\square$

It should be remarked that we actually only use the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of Ω_H and that one might expect the following result in the Hermitian case: If Ω_H is not Kobayashi hyperbolic, then $C(\gamma)$ can be identified with the bounded Hermitian symmetric space associated with G .

Note added in proof. With a few added details which we note here, we also handle the Hermitian case. For this assume that G is of Hermitian type and let P be a complex subgroup of $G^\mathbb{C}$ which properly contains $K^\mathbb{C}$. Then $X := G^\mathbb{C}/P$ is a compact Hermitian symmetric space. There are only two choices for P and the (open) G -orbit of the neutral point in X is the bounded Hermitian symmetric domain $\mathcal{B}$ or its conjugate $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$.

Proposition 10. *If G is of Hermitian type, then either*

$$C(\gamma) = \Omega_{AG} = \mathcal{B} \times \bar{\mathcal{B}}$$

or the base dual cycle $cl(\kappa)$ is P -invariant and $C(\gamma)$ is either $\mathcal{B}$ or $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$, depending on the choice of sign.

Proof. In the Hermitian case it is known that Ω_{AG} agrees with $\mathcal{B} \times \bar{\mathcal{B}}$ in its natural embedding in $G^\mathbb{C}/K^\mathbb{C}$ ([BHH]).

Let $\pi : G^\mathbb{C}/K^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow G^\mathbb{C}/P =: X$, where P is one of the two choices mentioned above, and let B be an Iwasawa-Borel subgroup of $G^\mathbb{C}$ which is being used for the incidence geometry. If the B -invariant hypersurface H in $G^\mathbb{C}/K^\mathbb{C}$ of §3.2 is not a lift $H = \pi^{-1}(H_0)$, then Ω_H is Kobayashi hyperbolic and therefore $\Omega_H = \Omega_{AG}$ ([FH]). Thus, just as in the non-Hermitian case, the desired result follows from Prop.8.

In order to complete the proof, we assume that H is a lift, but that $cl(\kappa)$ is not P -invariant, and reach a contradiction.

Let $x_0 \in \gamma$ be a base point with $\kappa = K^\mathbb{C}.x_0$. Since κ is not P -invariant, $cl(P.x_0)$ contains $cl(\kappa)$ as a proper algebraic subvariety. Now the intersection $\kappa \cap \gamma$ is transversal in Z . Thus every component of $P.x_0 \cap \mathcal{O}$ is positive-dimensional. Since $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}^{m(\mathcal{O})}$ is affine, every such component has at least one point of Y in its closure.

Thus for B an arbitrarily small neighborhood of the identity in $G^\mathbb{C}$ there exists $p \in P$ and $g \in B$ with $gp.x_0 \in Y$, and consequently $gpK^\mathbb{C} \in \Omega_H$.

But H was assumed to be a lift. This is equivalent to Ω_H being a lift, i.e., at the group level Ω_H is invariant under the action of P defined by right-multiplication. Hence $gK^\mathbb{C}$ is also in Ω_H . However, g can be chosen

arbitrarily near the identity, contrary to $C(\gamma)$ being an open neighborhood of the neutral point in $G^{\mathbb{C}}/K^{\mathbb{C}}$. $\square$

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank the referee for his constructive remarks; in particular, for underlining the fact that the set of $g \in G^{\mathbb{C}}$ with $g(\kappa) \cap \gamma$ non-empty and compact could theoretically be rather bad, e.g., not open. $\square$

References

- [AG] Akhiezer, D. and Gindikin, S.: On the Stein extensions of real symmetric spaces, *Math. Annalen* **286** (1990), 1–12.
- [B] Barchini, L.: Stein extensions of real symmetric spaces and the geometry of the flag manifold (to appear)
- [BK] Barlet, D. and Kozairz, V.: Fonctions holomorphes sur l’espace des cycles: la méthode d’intersection, *Math. Research Letters* **7** (2000), 537–550.
- [BM] Barlet, D. and Magnusson, J.: Intégration de classes de cohomologie méromorphes et diviseurs d’incidence. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **31** (1998), 811–842.
- [BL] Bremigan, R. and Lorch, J.: Orbit duality for flag manifolds, *Manuscripta Math.* **109** (2002), 233–261.
- [BHH] Burns, D., Halverscheid, S. and Hind, R.: The geometry of Grauert tubes and complexification of symmetric spaces (to appear in *Duke Math. J.*)
- [C] Crittenden, R. J.: Minimum and conjugate points in symmetric spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* **14** (1962), 320–328.
- [FH] Fels, G. and Huckleberry, A.: Characterization of cycle domains via Kobayashi hyperbolicity, (AG/0204341)
- [GM] Gindikin, S. and Matsuki, T.: Stein extensions of riemannian symmetric spaces and dualities of orbits on flag manifolds, MSRI Preprint 2001–028.
- [H] Huckleberry, A.: On certain domains in cycle spaces of flag manifolds, *Math. Annalen* **323** (2002), 797–810.
- [HW1] Huckleberry, A. and Wolf, J. A.: Schubert varieties and cycle spaces (AG/0204033, to appear in *Duke Math. J.*)
- [HW2] Huckleberry, A. and Wolf, J. A.: Cycles Spaces of Flag Domains: A Complex Geometric Viewpoint (RT/0210445)
- [M] Matsuki, T.: The orbits of affine symmetric spaces under the action of minimal parabolic subgroups, *J. of Math. Soc. Japan* **31** n.2(1979)331–357
- [MUV] I. Mirković, K. Uzawa and K. Vilonen, Matsuki correspondence for sheaves, *Invent. Math.* **109** (1992), 231–245.

- [W1] Wolf, J. A.: The action of a real semisimple Lie group on a complex manifold, I: Orbit structure and holomorphic arc components, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 1121–1237.

AH and BN:
Fakultät für Mathematik
Ruhr-Universität Bochum
D-44780 Bochum, Germany

`ahuck@cplx.ruhr-uni-bochum.de`
`ntatin@cplx.ruhr-uni-bochum.de`